

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,109	09/09/2003	. Howard T. Barrett	P27179.A03	2108
7590 01/18/2007 ANDREW M. CALDERON GREENBLUM AND BERNSTEIN P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
			DIMYAN, MAGID Y	
1950 ROLANE RESTON, VA	O CLARKE PLACE 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2825	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/605,109	BARRETT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Magid Y. Dimyan	2825					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address –					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the country of the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 November 2006.							
, 	,—						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 21-27</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	-	•					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is regarding the Request for Reconsideration under 37 C.F.R. §1.111, and Remarks, filed 11/24/2006. Claims 1 – 27 remain pending in this Application.

Response to Remarks

1. Applicants' arguments stated in their Remarks, filed 24 November 2006, with respect to the rejections of claims 1 - 3, 5 - 7, 9, 12 - 19, 21, 22 and 24 - 27 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and claims 4, 8, 10, 11 and 23 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the Examiner has withdrawn these rejections. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejections are made, as outlined below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1, 21 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a wellestablished utility.

Claims 1, 21 and 27 cite "manipulating the kerf data by use of kerf processing using the same manipulation process as for the chip data". However, these claims do not describe any utility produced by the kerf data manipulation. Merely manipulating kerf data does not produce tangible or concrete results, and thus lacks utility.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,109

Art Unit: 2825

Claims 1, 21 and 27 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 15 20 allowed.
- 5. Claims 1 –14 and 21 27 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101 and 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: prior art does not teach the claimed limitations pertaining to the method for generating kerf data by assembling previously designed and stored kerf features, and concurrently creating kerf design data and chip design data by using same parameters for both kerf and chip design data.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Magid Y. Dimyan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on (571) 272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,109

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Magid Y Dimyan Examiner Art Unit 2825

myd

05 January 2007

VUTHE SIEK
PRIMARY EXAMINER